

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/726,394 12/02/2003		Lisa Pfefferle	YU-P03-008	. 5613	
	7590 01/30/2007	EXAMINER			
FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP ROPES & GRAY LLP			HENDRICKSON, STUART L		
ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110-2624			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
B0310N, MA	1 02110-2027	· .	1754		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
. 31 DAYS		01/30/2007	PAF	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

(
⅀	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/726,394	PFEFFERLE ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Stuart Hendrickson	1754					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		.•					
		 action is non-final.						
'=	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under E	·						
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.							
	4) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
	on Papers	•						
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
· ·	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and acceptable are also acceptable and acceptable are also acceptable as a second acceptable and acceptable are also acceptable as a second acceptable acceptable as a second acceptable accept		Evaminar					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	,							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te					

Page 2

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-32, 38, drawn to nano-material, classified in class 423, subclass 445R.
- II. Claims 33-37, drawn to a product, classified in class 423, subclass 326+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used as a filler in a polymer or cement, or as a sorbent.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,394 Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754